## **Professional Practice Analysis**

of the

American Veterinary Chiropractic Association and the

**Animal Chiropractic Certification Commission** 

Douglas M. Lawson ACCC Public Member dmlawson@alumni.ucalgary.ca

#### **Table of Contents**

Description	Page
Introduction	3
Qualifications and biases of the author	3
Steps in completing the PPA	3
Analysis of the data: Client Survey	5
Analysis of data: Random Group, Animal Chiropractic Professionals Survey - Frequency	7
Analysis of data: Random Group, Animal Chiropractic Professionals Survey - Risk	9
Analysis of data: Random Group, Animal Chiropractic Professionals Survey - Demographics	10
Creation of index of importance (frequency X risk)	12
Next Steps	13
Appendix A: Random Group: Table of Estimated Importance	14
Appendix B: Open Group: Table of Estimated Importance	15

#### Introduction

This document is my report on the professional practice analysis (PPA) completed for the Animal Chiropractic Certification Commission (Commission) of the American Veterinary Chiropractic Association (AVCA). The report is based on my activities for the Commission for the last three years. This project would not have been able to be completed without the help of Maria Lawson and Leslie Means.

#### **Qualifications and Biases of the Author**

The author's most recently completed advanced degree is a Master of Science in Medical Education from the University of Calgary. I was attached to the Faculty of Medicine at that university for some 6 years. I completed the M.Sc. in 2003 and was completing a Ph.D. in Medical Education (psychometrics) when I withdrew due to health problems. The course work was completed as well as the research project being published. The candidacy examinations had been passed, and I was scheduled to defend my dissertation in the Fall of 2006. In April 2006 I underwent heart surgery and withdrew from the program: initially temporarily and then finally in the Spring of 2007. I have published over 15 articles in the area of psychometrics in peer-reviewed, journals (www.chiroindex.org or PubMed for abstracts). I have a professional degree (doctor of chiropractic diploma) from Cleveland Chiropractic College (1976) and a Bachelor of Arts in Economics (minor in Mathematics) from the University of Calgary (1971).

Although I am sure there are some politics within the animal chiropractic field, I am not really aware of them. No group has approached me and asked that the PPA be structured, altered, or reported in a way that would reflect a particular view. Although I have attempted to ensure that the report not reflect my own biases, I should declare that I practiced a musculoskeletal practice for 20-years (leaving practice in 1995) and focused on a best evidence model of practice - or in current terminology, evidence informed.

Any errors or omissions with regard to this document are the sole responsibility of the author. If I have forgotten to thank someone, please accept my apology.

#### Steps in Completing the PPA

Prior to the Houston AVCA convention (2007) and meeting of the Commission, I discussed with the Commission Chair and the Examinations Committee the need for a professional practice analysis. In order to prepare for the Houston AGM, I performed a medical literature search on the terms: practice analysis, professional practice analysis, task analysis, and job analysis. I also reviewed the National Board of Chiropractic Examiners self-published book, although this has not been adequately published in the peer-reviewed scientific literature. Based on the reviews, I recommended to the Commission that the project be called a professional practice analysis as neither veterinarians or chiropractors have "jobs".

Also at the Houston convention, a focus group was scheduled with the education directors. To prepare for the focus group I performed a search on Medline, Pubmed, and chiroindex.org on each on the individuals who had been identified as a possible attendee at the focus group. My goal was to read all the published abstracts and if possible the published articles of the attendees. This took surprisingly little time. At the time of the search, no prospective attendees had published in peer-reviewed, refereed journals. The focus group meeting with the education directors was a real eye-opener. This was a major reality check for me. The reality check includes the following issues:

- the animal chiropractic courses are 210 hour each, many with 5-modules.
- concomitant veterinary care is either legislated or assumed, but never excluded.
  - o 3-states allow chiropractors to adjust animals on their own
  - 10-states provide for direct supervision
  - o 7-allow adjustment with referral from a vet
  - 25 don't allow the adjustment of animals by a chiropractor
  - 5 are unknown
  - (thanks to Parker College for the survey)

most high-stakes examinations function as a gateway to the profession - fail the examination and you
are pumping gas instead of practicing. This is not true of the AVCA examination, where unsuccessful
candidates are still able to practice animal chiropractic in most states and provinces.

Since that focus group, my thoughts on the possible types of examinations are as follows. First, we have two significant groups, one that has the necessary knowledge, skills, attitudes, and legislation to treat animals. The second group has the necessary knowledge, skills, attitudes, and legislation to apply the philosophy, art and science of chiropractic to humans. The examination of the Commission must be based on the amount that the two groups overlap. The smallest amount of overlap would be where veterinarians learn the necessary additional knowledge and skills to apply the philosophy, science, and art of chiropractic adjusting to animals, and chiropractors learn the necessary knowledge and skills to apply the philosophy, science, and art of chiropractic adjusting to animals. With the programs being 210 hours long, this may indeed represent the amount of overlap that the Commission should be testing. A larger overlap would place more responsibility on the chiropractic group to gain sufficient additional skills (diagnostic, orthopedic, neurologic, physical examination, etc) to treat animals. If the latter model is the one accepted by the Commission, then the educational standards of the Animal Chiropractic Accreditation Commission (ACAC) should so reflect. I expect that the number of hours required of the programs would have to significantly increase. It is important to start at the beginning of the process; with the ACAC and the educational institutions. Change should start at the beginning, and not at the end of the process.

All of the above points to the need to keep moving on the PPA. After the focus group with the education directors, the following steps have been taken.

- changed the initial interview form and incorporating the suggestions/ recommendations of the education directors.
- interviewed 10 randomly selected animal chiropractors at the AGM. We found that the random interviews suggested that no one's perception of what animal chiropractors do (commissions, AVCA, education directors) is fully in agreement with others.
- observed and talked with the display table representatives at the AGM to understand what products and services are being offered to animal chiropractors
- reviewed the list of official suppliers to the AGM to understand what types of groups are interested in engaging in business relationships with animal chiropractors.
- reviewed the accreditation standards and the educational standards of the Animal Chiropractic Accreditation Commission.
- reviewed the state legislative summary as provided by Parker College of Chiropractic. We understand that this is not without controversy, but it is an excellent starting place.
- changed (once again) the draft interview form.
- created a draft survey form

Based on the results of the Houston meetings, pilot test, and feedback the final survey was developed and approved by the Certification Commission in February 2009. It was decided that the PPA should be inclusive to ensure all those with an interest were heard. An initial randomly selected group would receive all the surveys, and be repeatedly encouraged to respond. In addition, all those with an e-mail address in the AVCA database would be given the opportunity to respond to all parts of the survey (by breaking down the survey into three parts, it was hoped that animal chiropractors wouldn't be overwhelmed by the larger survey). In addition, a survey was designed, reviewed by a focus group, pilot tested, and refined to gather input from animal chiropractic clients.

To assist in reducing the costs of the PPA, regular mail was contrasted to an e-mail process. Due to the significant cost reduction (postage, paper, data-entry) of the e-mail approach, a year's subscription to Survey Monkey was proposed in a budget approved by the AVCA Board. The following list provides the dates that the survey links were sent out to the animal chiropractic profession.

- March 5, 2009: e-mail link to 104 randomly selected members from the AVCA database
- March 8, 2009: e-mail reminder to the randomly selected members
- March 10, 2009: e-mail link to 480 doctors from the AVCA database (frequency portion of survey)
- March 13, 2009 e-mail link to 480 doctors from AVCA database (perceived risk portion of survey)

- March 20, 2009 e-mail link to 480 doctors from AVCA database (demographic portion of survey)
- March 27, 2009 e-mail link to 520 doctors from AVCA database (client survey portion)
- March 27, 2009 web page link on the AVCA web page for client access

On August 17th, 2009 the surveys were closed (all except the Client Survey) and the data exported for analysis.

#### Analysis of Data: Client Survey (n=255)

An animal survey was completed to provide guidance to the PPA. Past studies have revealed a disconnect between health care professionals (why they believe patients are seeing them) and patients/clients (why they believe they are seeking care). The client survey started as a list of open ended questions. After some 25 responses, the open ended questions were changed if favor of a selection format, reviewed in focus groups and then pilot tested. The survey was then updated and then made available through the AVCA members and with direct access by clients off the AVCA website.

**Note on understanding the tables.** For the most part, the important column in the tables is the far right hand column. That column gives the results for all participants in the survey. The 2<sup>nd</sup> through 5<sup>th</sup> columns are an analysis by subgroup so that we can compare the differences between DCs and DVMs or between Female or Male animal chiropractic professionals. The most common response is in bold for each column.

Question 1: What is the purpose of your visit today? Please check all that apply.

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	Total
Scheduled checkup	33%	30%	32%	32%	28%
Spinal pain (from tip of head to end of tail)	33%	27%	26%	32%	27%
Extremity pain (shoulders, hips, etc)	27%	38%	17%	41%	28%
Visceral issues (stomach, kidney, liver, heart, lung, etc)	8%	11%	8%	8%	7%
Overall wellness	38%	38%	42%	38%	36%
Health maintenance	44%	46%	48%	49%	42%
Improve performance	39%	32%	39%	39%	35%
Improve gait	40%	38%	36%	46%	38%
Improve mobility	52%	41%	52%	53%	48%
Other	9%	16%	12%	5%	11%

Question 2: How happy are you with the care offered your animal?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	Total
Very unhappy	4.6%	5.4%	2.8%	5.4%	4.5%
	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%	0.8%
	0.7%	2.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.2%
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Neutral	1.3%	2.7%	0.9%	4.1%	3.7%
	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
	5.3%	16.2%	5.5%	6.8%	7.4%
	16.6%	10.8%	17.4%	14.9%	15.6%
Very happy	69.5%	59.5%	71.6%	66.2%	64.8%
Rating Average (out of 10)	9.1	8.7	9.3	8.8	9.1

Question 3: How strongly to you agree with the following statements? (1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree)

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	Total
An animal chiropractic professional is the most appropriate health professional to consult for spinal problems (neck, upper back, lower back, tail).	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
An animal chiropractic professional is the most appropriate health professional to consult for extremity problems (hips, shoulder, legs, feet, ankles).	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2
An animal chiropractic professional is the most appropriate health professional to consult for health maintenance and wellness care.	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
An animal chiropractic professional is an appropriate option for the care of all health concerns (other than the spine and extremity).	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2

Question 4: Animal chiropractic professionals must have a license to practice as either a chiropractor or a veterinarian. Which is your animal chiropractor?

Note on understanding the table: the columns add to 100%, not the rows.

	Female	Male	All
Doctor of Chiropractic	70.6%	81.1%	67.0%
Doctor of Veterinary Medicine	16.5%	9.5%	17.0%
Both	10.1%	5.4%	10.9%
Don't know	2.8%	4.1%	5.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Question 4: Is your most recent animal chiropractor a man or a woman?

	DC	DVM	All
Man	43.0%	29.7%	41.3%
Woman	57.0%	67.6%	57.8%
Don't know	0.0%	2.7%	0.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Question 6: What type or types of animals did you take to the animal chiropractor on your most recent visit?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
horse(s)	38.4%	24.3%	31.2%	39.2%	35.7%
dog(s)	68.2%	81.1%	70.6%	71.6%	70.9%
cats(s)	9.3%	18.9%	15.6%	5.4%	10.4%
birds(s)	1.3%	2.7%	0.9%	2.7%	1.3%
other	4.6%	0.0%	0.9%	6.8%	3.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### **Animal Chiropractic Professionals' Survey**

For the purpose of the PPA, a randomly selected group of animal chiropractic professionals was selected. It was critical that we got a good response from this group as it should best represent the profession. Others were provided access to the survey, but as a separate group. In surveys of this type, it is quite possible that a politically motivated group could bias the survey by encouraging full participation by those with a similar bias. Thus the need for a random selection process. This report focuses on the randomly selected group. The open group has been reported under Appendix B. Of the 104 participants picked randomly, 4 were dropped due to incorrect e-mail addresses and 71 responded. This is a 71% return rate, which is very acceptable for this type of survey.

About 70% of the random sample were female and 30% male. About 53% were DCs, 42% DVMs, and 5% held both primary degrees.

Frequency Survey: n=71

Part A: Frequency. Please use the following scales in response to the list of activities. By frequency, we are asking for the ACTIVITY OVER TIME, not the frequency of the activity for each patient or client. For example, if you routinely see multiple new patients each day, then item 1 would be "multiple times daily", even though you may only perform that activity once over the entire course of your relationship with the client. As another example, you may refer to a DVM as necessary, but it depends on the client. We want to know how frequently you have done so over the last year or two. The activity should be as performed by an animal chiropractic professional - not as a doctor of veterinary medicine or doctor of chiropractic. For those activities which you feel are out of your scope of practice, please use the N/A option. Please do not leave any rows blank. (1=Never, 2=Yearly or less, 3=Monthly, 4=Weekly, 5=Daily, 6=Multiple times daily)

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Discuss animal's health needs with owner	5.0	5.0	5.1	4.7	5.0
Discuss consent to treat	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.4
Discuss consent for fees	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.8	4.2
Obtain a signed informed consent on the first visit	3.4	2.5	2.9	3.2	2.8
Obtain oral consent on subsequent visits	3.6	4.0	3.6	4.3	3.8
Co-ordinate care with primary DVM (if not you)	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.9	4.7
Obtain/complete a case history from the owner	4.8	5.1	4.8	4.8	4.9
Explain the importance of the nervous system to health	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.1	4.9
Assessment of pain	5.0	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.1
Assessment of alignment	5.1	5.5	5.2	5.3	5.3
Assessment of active range of motion	5.1	5.4	5.1	5.3	5.2
Assessment of tenderness	5.1	5.5	5.2	5.4	5.3
Assessment of temperature	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.6
Review of systems (bowel, bladder)	4.4	5.2	4.4	4.7	4.8
Participate in or conduct veterinary exam (non-MSK)	2.4	5.2	3.8	4.4	4.0
Cranial nerve examination	2.4	4.1	3.1	4.4	3.5
Central nervous system examination	3.8	4.2	3.7	4.5	4.0
Peripheral nervous system neurologic examination	4.1	4.6	4.0	4.8	4.3
Gait analysis	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.3	5.1
Posture evaluation	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.4	5.2
Motion palpation	5.2	5.5	5.2	5.4	5.3
Static palpation	5.2	5.5	5.2	5.4	5.3
Provide a report of findings	4.8	4.5	4.3	5.4	4.7
Communicate a diagnosis other than a subluxation	3.6	5.1	4.3	4.5	4.7
Communicate a plan of management	5.0	5.5	5.1	5.2	5.2
Adjust/manipulate an animal's spine	5.0	5.4	5.1	5.4	5.3
Adjust/manipulate an animal's cranial bones	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.6
Adjust/manipulate an animal's extremity	5.0	5.4	5.0	5.2	5.0
Manual adjustment of the animal	5.0	5.4	5.0	5.4	5.3
	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.5	1.9
Adjustment of the animal with the use of an instrument		1.3			
Table assisted adjustment of the animal	1.3		1.2	1.5	1.3
Use of other modalities (cold, heat, etc.)	2.7	4.1	3.2	3.3	3.4
Recommend/prescribe rehab	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.2
Schedule follow up visit	5.1	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.1
Contact owner for call back	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1
Provide home care instructions for the owner	5.1	4.8	4.8	5.1	5.0

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Co-ordinate follow up care with primary veterinarian	3.6	3.7	3.2	4.1	3.6
Provide a written report to the DVM	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.1	2.8

**Part B: Relative Risk:** By relative risk, we are asking for your opinion with regard to how much risk is there to the client, animal and the profession of animal chiropractic if the activity is NOT carried out correctly. The activity should be as performed by an animal chiropractic professional - not as a doctor of veterinary medicine or doctor of chiropractic. For those activities which you feel are out of your scope of practice, please check the N/A option. Please do not leave any rows blank.

(1=Absolutely no risk, 2= Some risk, 3=Moderate risk, 4=More risk, 5=Very risky)

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Discuss animal's health needs with owner	2.6	2.6	2.8	1.9	2.6
Discuss consent to treat	2.6	2.7	3.0	1.8	2.7
Discuss consent for fees	2.1	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.2
Obtain a signed informed consent on the first visit	2.5	2.1	2.5	1.6	2.3
Obtain oral consent on subsequent visits	2.1	2.4	2.4	1.7	2.1
Co-ordinate care with primary DVM (if not you)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.2	2.7
Obtain a case history from the owner	2.8	2.7	3.1	1.6	2.8
Explain the importance of the nervous system to health	2.3	2.0	2.3	1.7	2.2
Assessment of pain	3.1	3.3	3.3	2.9	3.2
Assessment of alignment	3.3	3.1	3.3	2.9	3.2
Assessment of active range of motion	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.3
Assessment of tenderness	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.3
Assessment of temperature	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.7
Review of systems (bowel, bladder)	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.9
Participate in or conduct veterinary exam (non-MSK)	2.8	3.4	3.2	2.8	3.1
Cranial nerve examination	2.9	2.3	2.4	3.0	2.6
Central nervous system examination	3.2	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0
Peripheral nervous system neurologic examination	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1
Gait analysis	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.2
Posture evaluation	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.1
Motion palpation	3.3	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.1
Static palpation	3.1	3.1	3.3	2.9	2.8
Provide a report of findings	2.7	2.5	2.9	1.9	2.6
Communicate a diagnosis other than a subluxation	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.2
Communicate a plan of management	2.8	3.0	3.2	2.3	3.0
Adjust/manipulate an animal's spine	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.3	3.7
Adjust/manipulate an animal's cranial bones	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.5
Adjust/manipulate an animal's extremity	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.4	3.7

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Manual adjustment of the animal	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.7
Adjustment of the animal with the use of an instrument	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.6	4.0
Table assisted adjustment of the animal	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.9
Use of other modalities (cold, heat, etc.)	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.8
Recommend/prescribe rehab	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.8
Schedule follow up visit	2.3	2.3	2.5	1.9	2.3
Contact owner for call back	2.0	2.1	2.3	1.5	2.1
Provide home care instructions for the owner	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.8
Co-ordinate follow up care with primary veterinarian	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.2	2.6
Provide a written report to the DVM	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.4

#### **Demographic Questions**

Question 13: What is your primary degree (the degree under which you are licensed / registered to practice in your state/province or country?

		Female	Male	All
Doctor of Chiropractic		48.7%	68.8%	52.6%
Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (or equivalent)		43.6%	31.3%	42.1%
Both		7.7%	0.0%	5.3%

Question 14: Are you male or female? This question will assist us in determining differences in practice.

	DC	DVM	All
Male	36.7%	22.7%	29.8%
Female	63.3%	77.3%	70.2%

#### Question 15: Does your practice include primarily:

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Large animals	13.3%	4.5%	2.6%	25.0%	8.8%
Small animals	20.0%	31.8%	33.3%	6.3%	24.6%
Both	66.7%	63.6%	64.1%	68.8%	66.7%

#### Question 16: Does your practice also include exotic animals?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
No	33.3%	59.1%	53.8%	25.0%	45.6%
Yes	26.7%	18.2%	17.9%	31.3%	22.8%
Rarely	40.0%	22.7%	28.2%	43.8%	31.6%

Question 18: Is your practice principally rural or urban?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Rural	20.0%	36.4%	28.2%	25.0%	28.1%
Urban	13.3%	18.2%	23.1%	6.3%	19.3%
Both	66.7%	45.5%	48.7%	68.8%	52.6%

#### Question 19: Are you currently practicing animal chiropractic?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
No	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yes	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Question 20: What percentage of your practice is animal chiropractic?

DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
46.5%	49.8%	51.3%	40.6%	47.0%

#### Question 21: How many hours a week, on average, do you practice animal chiropractic?

DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
14.7	18.1	16.1	16.7	15.9

### Question 22. If you are a veterinarian (or equivalent), what percentage of your practice is adjustment/manipulation? If you are a chiropractor, please skip this question. (n=31)

	DVM	Female	Male	All
	46.9%	47.2%	21.1%	39.0%

#### Question 23. In which types of facilities do you practice animal chiropractic? Please check all that apply.

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
Chiropractic facility	53.6%	0.0%	28.9%	33.3%	29.1%
Home office	25.0%	31.8%	31.6%	20.0%	27.3%
Satellite facility	0.0%	9.1%	5.3%	0.0%	3.6%
Veterinary facility	46.4%	68.2%	52.6%	60.0%	56.4%
Client facilities	78.6%	63.6%	63.2%	86.7%	70.9%

Question 24: Are you a member of the American Veterinary Chiropractic Association?

	DC	DVM	Female	Male	All
No	10.7%	18.2%	18.4%	0.0%	12.7%
Yes	89.3%	81.8%	81.6%	100.0%	87.3%

#### Creation of Index of Importance (frequency X risk)

The table of contents for a certification examination must be based on a professional practice analysis. Those content areas for which candidates are responsible and for which they must demonstrate competence must be based on activities that are frequently performed by animal chiropractic professionals and that carry a suitable level of risk (to patients, clients, the public, or the profession). The table in Appendix D was created by multiplying the frequency of the activities, as reported by the survey respondents, by the relative risk, as estimated by the survey respondents. In **Appendix D**, this estimate of importance was then sorted from higher to lower by the column "Importance Total". The challenge to the Commission has now become "From how far down the list are activities to be included in the examination content".

As a starting point, we could include those activities that represent a minimum of daily frequency and moderate risk. This point would be a frequency of 5 times a risk of 3; or, an importance estimate of 15. At the convention workshop, the participants will be asked to make a recommendation for the consideration of the Commission about this point. Appendix A includes information for all subgroups, as subgroup information may be important in setting the final cut-point for inclusion. For example, the activity "participate in or conduct veterinary exam (non-MSK)" has a lower frequency, risk and importance estimate for DCs (6.72) than for DVMs (17.68). The facilitator for the workshop will be asking for open discussion about the activities that should be recommended to the Commission for assessment.

If time allows at the workshop, the results from the call for input from all animal chiropractors (Open Group) will be reviewed and compared to the randomly selected group. The Open group consisted of about 95 practitioners. About 45% were DCs and 55% were DVMs. About 61% were females and 39% were males. The Importance Table from the Open Group can be found under Appendix B. The Importance tables will be compared and contrasted to ensure that the recommendation to the Commission has considered all possible input. If there is insufficient time at the workshop, then this job will be the responsibility of the Commission.

#### **Next Steps**

After feedback from the workshops, the Commission will have the responsibility of creating an examination blueprint from the PPA. The Commission will then need to determine the weight applied to the content areas, and the best method to assess the unique knowledge, skills, and attitudes of animal chiropractic professionals. Possible options include, but are not limited to: supply type questions (fill in the blanks, short answer, essay), multiple-choice questions, extended-matching questions, orals, and objective structured practical examinations (OSPEs). At that point, the information must be sent to all stakeholders for critical review and feedback. The challenge then before the Commission will be to create, update, and maintain its databank of questions and stations for use by an examinations committee.

Respectfully submitted by,

Douglas M. Lawson

Douglas M. Lawson, D.C., M.Sc. ACCC public member dmlawson@alumni.ucalgary.ca

# Appendix A Randomized Group Estimate of Importance

	F	requency		Risk	lm	portance
	DC DVN	I FemaleMale Tota	I DC DVM	FemaleMale Total	DC DVM	Female Male Total
Adjust/manipulate an animal's spine	5.20 5.40	5.20 5.40 5.30	3.60 3.90	3.90 3.30 3.70	18.72 21.06	20.28 17.82 19.61
Manual adjustment of the animal	5.20 5.40	5.10 5.40 5.30	3.60 3.80	3.80 3.30 3.70	18.72 20.52	19.38 17.82 19.61
Adjust/manipulate an animal's extremity	5.00 5.40	5.00 5.20 5.10	3.60 3.90	3.80 3.40 3.70	18.00 21.06	19.00 17.68 18.87
Assessment of tenderness	5.10 5.50	5.20 5.40 5.30	3.20 3.40	3.30 3.00 3.30	16.32 18.70	17.16 16.20 17.49
Assessment of active range of motion	5.10 5.40	5.10 5.30 5.20	3.30 3.40	3.40 3.10 3.30	16.83 18.36	17.34 16.43 17.16
Assessment of alignment	5.10 5.50	5.20 5.30 5.30	3.30 3.10	3.30 2.90 3.20	16.83 17.05	17.16 15.37 16.96
Assessment of pain	5.00 5.30	5.10 5.10 5.10	3.10 3.30	3.30 2.90 3.20	15.50 17.49	16.83 14.79 16.32
Motion palpation	5.20 5.50	5.20 5.40 5.30	3.30 3.20	3.20 2.90 2.96	17.16 17.60	16.64 15.66 15.68
Communicate a plan of management	5.00 5.50	5.10 5.20 5.20	2.80 3.00	3.20 2.30 3.00	14.00 16.50	16.32 11.96 15.60
Static palpation	5.20 5.50	5.20 5.40 5.30	3.10 3.10	3.30 2.90 2.93	16.12 17.05	17.16 15.66 15.55
Gait analysis	5.20 5.00	4.90 5.30 5.10	3.30 3.40	3.40 3.10 2.90	17.16 17.00	16.66 16.43 14.80
Posture evaluation	5.20 5.30	5.20 5.40 5.20	3.20 3.10	3.10 2.90 2.84	16.64 16.43	16.12 15.66 14.75
Provide home care instructions for the owner	5.10 4.80	4.80 5.10 5.00	2.60 2.90	2.80 2.50 2.80	13.26 13.92	13.44 12.75 14.00
Review of systems (bowel, bladder)	4.40 5.20	4.70 4.80 4.80	3.00 2.80	2.90 2.50 2.90	13.20 14.56	13.63 12.00 13.92
Communicate a diagnosis other than a subluxation	3.60 5.10	4.30 4.50 4.30	3.00 3.20	3.20 3.00 3.20	10.80 16.32	13.76 13.50 13.76
Obtain/complete a case history from the owner	4.80 5.10	4.80 4.80 4.90	2.80 2.70	3.10 1.60 2.80	13.44 13.77	14.88 7.68 13.72
Peripheral nervous system neurologic examination	4.10 4.60	4.00 4.80 4.30	3.20 3.00	3.00 3.10 3.10	13.12 13.80	12.00 14.88 13.33
Discuss animal's health needs with owner	5.00 5.00			2.80 1.90 2.60		14.28 8.93 13.00
Participate in or conduct veterinary exam (non-MSK)	2.40 5.20	3.80 4.40 4.10	2.80 3.40	3.20 2.80 3.10	6.72 17.68	12.16 12.32 12.71
Co-ordinate care with primary DVM (if not you)	3.70 3.50			3.00 2.20 2.70		9.90 8.58 12.69
Adjust/manipulate an animal's cranial bones	3.80 3.40			3.70 3.20 3.50		12.95 11.84 12.60
Assessment of temperature	4.40 4.70			2.80 2.50 2.70		12.32 11.75 12.42
Provide a report of findings	4.80 4.50			2.90 1.90 2.60		12.47 10.26 12.22
Central nervous system examination	3.80 4.20			3.00 3.10 3.00		11.10 13.95 12.00
Discuss consent to treat	4.80 4.60			3.00 1.80 2.70		13.80 8.82 11.88
Recommend/prescribe rehab	4.20 4.40	4.00 4.60 4.20	2.90 2.80	2.90 2.60 2.80	12.18 12.32	11.60 11.96 11.76
Schedule follow up visit	5.10 5.30			2.50 1.90 2.30		12.75 9.69 11.73
Explain the importance of the nervous system to health	5.00 4.70	4.70 5.10 4.90	2.30 2.00	2.30 1.70 2.20	11.50 9.40	10.81 8.67 10.78
Use of other modalities (cold, heat, etc.)	2.70 4.10		3.00 2.70	2.90 2.50 2.80	8.10 11.07	9.28 8.25 9.52
Co-ordinate follow up care with primary veterinarian	3.60 3.70			2.70 2.20 2.60		8.64 9.02 9.36
Discuss consent for fees	4.10 4.50			2.40 1.80 2.20		9.60 8.64 9.24
Cranial nerve examination	2.90 4.10			2.40 3.00 2.60		7.44 12.00 9.10
Contact owner for call back	4.20 4.00			2.30 1.50 2.10		9.43 5.85 8.61
Obtain oral consent on subsequent visits	3.60 4.00			2.40 1.70 2.10		8.64 7.31 7.98
Adjustment of the animal with the use of an instrument				4.10 3.60 4.00		6.56 9.00 7.60
Provide a written report to the DVM	2.80 3.00			2.40 2.10 2.40		6.24 6.51 6.72
Obtain a signed informed consent on the first visit	3.40 2.50			2.50 1.60 2.30		7.25 5.12 6.44
Table assisted adjustment of the animal	1.30 1.30			3.90 3.60 3.90		4.68 5.40 5.07
Maximum Possible	6 6	6 6 6	5 5	5 5 5	30.00 30.00	30.00 30.00 30.00
High	5.20 5.50			4.10 3.60 4.00		20.28 17.82 19.61
Low	1.30 1.30			2.30 1.50 2.10		4.68 5.12 5.07
Average	4.24 4.52			3.06 2.58 2.91		12.89 11.74 12.75
Standard Deviation	1.02 1.06			0.48 0.62 0.49		3.99 3.76 3.67
Correlation to Total Importance	0.76 0.82			0.50 0.41 0.45		0.97 0.88 1.00
Moderate risk (3) X Daily (5) = 15	27.0 0.01			2.00 0 0.10	2,00 0.00	1.1. 0.00 1.00
Madanta rial (2) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						

Moderate risk (3) X Weekly (4)=12

## Appendix B Open Group: Estimate of Importance

		Fr	equency	1				Risk				, li	mportance		
	DC	DVM F	emale I		Total	DC	DVM	Female I	Male	Total	DC	DVM	Female M	Male	Total
Adjust/manipulate an animal's spine	5.06	5.60	5.40		5.36		3.88				19.63		22.36	18.73	
Manual adjustment of the animal	5.06	5.69	5.46	5.33	5.41	3.77	3.86	4.02	3.54	3.82			21.95	18.87	20.67
Adjust/manipulate an animal's extremity	4.91	5.36		5.10			3.86	4.02		3.85			20.86	18.46	19.87
Assessment of alignment	5.06	5.61	5.41	5.29	5.36		3.78	3.81	3.35	3.63			20.61	17.72	19.46
Assessment of active range of motion	4.98	5.64	5.39	5.27	5.35		3.76	3.72		3.60			20.05	17.97	19.26
Assessment of pain	4.83	5.66	5.37		5.28	3.35	3.88	3.71	3.54	3.64			19.92	18.23	19.22
Assessment of tenderness	5.02		5.40	5.33	5.37	3.38	3.65	3.66	3.35	3.54			19.76	17.86	19.01
Obtain/complete a case history from the owner		5.53		5.04			3.54	3.61		3.56			18.59	17.44	18.16
Discuss animal's health needs with owner	4.66	5.65	5.29		5.21		3.61	3.52			14.96		18.62	16.70	17.82
Motion palpation	5.05	5.73	5.43		5.42		3.20	3.20		3.21		18.34	17.38	15.66	17.41
Static palpation	5.12		5.40	5.40	5.40		3.10	3.30		3.18			17.82	15.66	17.15
Posture evaluation	5.03	5.55	5.37	5.23	5.32		3.10	3.10	2.90	3.24			16.65	15.17	17.22
Communicate a plan of management		5.63 5.36	5.37	5.12	5.28		3.48	3.39 3.40	3.03	3.25	14.31 16.20		18.20 17.68	15.51 15.75	17.16 16.37
Gait analysis	4.91		5.20		5.16				3.10						
Peripheral nervous system neurologic examination	1.68	4.97 5.55	4.65		4.64 4.23		3.46 3.72	3.48 3.69	3.48	3.41 3.62			16.18	15.09 13.36	15.82 15.31
Participate in or conduct veterinary exam (non-MSK)	4.78	5.43	4.45 5.19	5.06	5.14		2.98	2.98	2.86	2.94			16.42 15.47	14.47	15.11
Provide home care instructions for the owner	3.68		4.38		4.31		3.58	3.48			12.36		15.47	14.47	14.96
Central nervous system examination  Provide a report of findings		5.19	5.00		4.86	2.89	3.06	3.40	2.86	3.00			15.24	13.16	14.58
Communicate a diagnosis other than a subluxation			4.51	4.28	4.43		3.45	3.24		3.26			14.61	14.00	14.44
Review of systems (bowel, bladder)	3.64	5.22		4.30	4.54		2.96	3.37	2.77	3.16		15.45	15.70	11.91	14.35
Explain the importance of the nervous system to health	4.80			4.94			2.85	2.89		2.78		14.68	14.51	12.94	13.87
Discuss consent to treat	3.81	4.43	4.35	3.81			3.27	3.36	3.08	3.24			14.62	11.73	13.48
Recommend/prescribe rehab	3.81		4.33	4.08	4.24		2.96	3.31		3.06		13.56	14.33	10.73	12.97
Assessment of temperature		5.15	4.60		4.51			2.90		2.84			13.34	11.76	12.81
Adjust/manipulate an animal's cranial bones	3.22		3.44	3.30	3.41		3.84	3.90	3.54	3.75			13.42	11.68	12.79
Schedule follow up visit	4.88		5.29		5.23		2.27		2.22				12.85	11.34	12.29
Cranial nerve examination	2.80	4.15	3.61	3.50	3.59		3.24	3.13	3.06	3.10	8.15	13.45	11.30	10.71	11.13
Discuss consent for fees	4.00	4.56	4.40	4.15	4.30	2.55	2.56	2.62	2.50	2.56	10.20	11.67	11.53	10.38	11.01
Co-ordinate care with primary DVM (if not you)	3.49	3.91	3.76	3.58	3.70	2.77	2.95	3.02	2.65	2.87	9.67	11.53	11.36	9.49	10.62
Use of other modalities (cold, heat, etc.)	2.40	4.32	3.47	3.51	3.50	3.25	2.87	3.26	2.61	2.99	7.80	12.40	11.31	9.16	10.47
Contact owner for call back	3.98	4.65	4.48	4.13	4.35	2.33	2.21	2.37	2.16	2.28	9.27	10.28	10.62	8.92	9.92
Co-ordinate follow up care with primary veterinarian	3.39	3.73	3.64	3.41	3.59	2.63	2.71	2.78	2.60	2.71	8.92	10.11	10.12	8.87	9.73
Obtain a signed informed consent on the first visit	3.28	3.20	3.27	3.18	3.23	3.05	2.94	2.97	2.97	2.91	10.00	9.41	9.71	9.44	9.40
Obtain oral consent on subsequent visits	3.22	3.72	3.56	3.37	3.48	2.68	2.33	2.46	2.59	2.48	8.63	8.67	8.76	8.73	8.63
Adjustment of the animal with the use of an instrument	2.38	1.73	1.91	2.20	2.01	3.50	4.36	4.05	3.61	3.84	8.33	7.54	7.74	7.94	7.72
Provide a written report to the DVM	2.59	3.23	2.95	2.84	2.90	2.21	2.62	2.40	2.47	2.44	5.72	8.46	7.08	7.01	7.08
Table assisted adjustment of the animal	1.45	1.49	1.33	1.70	1.47	3.58	4.09	4.13	3.27	3.72	5.19	6.09	5.49	5.56	5.47
Maximum Possible	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	30	30	30	30	30
High	5.12	5.73	5.46	5.4	5.42		4.36	4.14	3.62	3.91	19.63	21.96	22.36	18.87	20.96
Low	1.45	1.49	1.33	1.7	1.47		2.21	2.37	2.16	2.28		6.09	5.49	5.56	5.47
Average	4	4.78	4.5	4.34	4.45	3.13	3.27	3.31	3.03	3.21	12.56	15.63	14.93	13.22	14.31
Standard Deviation	1.02	1.07	1.01	0.95	0.98	0.42	0.53	0.5				4.54	4.3	3.69	4.07
Correlation to Total Importance	0.78	0.86	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.54	0.45	0.5	0.54	0.56	0.91	0.97	1	0.99	1